

Distribution Theory I

1.1. The Space \mathcal{D}_K .

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact.

$$\mathcal{D}_K = \left\{ \varphi : \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{spt } \varphi \subset K \right\} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{spt } \varphi = \overline{\{x : \varphi(x) \neq 0\}}.$$

\mathcal{D}_K forms a topological vector space with topology induced from the metric

$$d(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\|\varphi - \psi\|_m}{1 + \|\varphi - \psi\|_m}, \text{ where}$$

$$\|\varphi\|_m = \sup_K \left\{ |D^\alpha \varphi(x)| : 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m \right\}.$$

In this metric, $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ iff $\|\varphi_j - \varphi\|_m \rightarrow 0$ $\forall m$, that is,

$$D^\alpha \varphi_j \rightrightarrows D^\alpha \varphi \quad \forall \alpha.$$

1.2. The Space of Test Functions $\mathcal{D}(U)$.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and

$$\mathcal{D}(U) = C_c(U) \text{ the vspace of all smooth functions}$$

with cpt spt in U endowed with a topology such that

$$\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(U) \text{ iff } \exists \text{ cpt } K \subset U \text{ s.t. } \text{spt } \varphi_j \subset U$$

$\forall j$, and $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in \mathcal{D}_K .

Please refer to [R2] for a description of the topology on $\mathcal{D}(U)$. In our development, the knowledge of the convergence of $\{\varphi_j\}$ is sufficient for most purposes.

1.3. The Space of Distributions

A distribution is a linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(U)$ which satisfies

$$\Lambda(\varphi_j) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(U), \varphi_j \rightarrow 0, \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$$

Note that it also means

$$\Lambda \varphi_j \rightarrow \Lambda \varphi \quad \text{for } \varphi_j, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U), \varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

Example 1 $f \in L^1_{loc}(U)$. Define $\Lambda = \Lambda_f$ by

$$\Lambda \varphi = \int f \varphi d\mathcal{L}^n, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

It is clearly that $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$. In fact, $\Lambda_f = \Lambda_g$ iff $f = g$ ae. So $f \mapsto \Lambda_f$ is injective. Every loc. integrable function is a distribution.

Example 2 μ Radon measure $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define $\Lambda = \Lambda_\mu$

$$\Lambda \varphi = \int \varphi d\mu, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

again Λ is a distribution. Moreover, $\Lambda\mu = \Lambda$, iff $\mu = \omega$.
 Thus every Radon measure is a distribution $\in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 3 The delta function. Let $x_0 \in U$ and define

$$\delta_{x_0}(\varphi) = \varphi(x_0), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

δ_{x_0} is a distribution.

We note the structure of $\mathcal{D}'(U)$:

- $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} ,
- $f \in C^\infty(U)$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ Then

$$(f\Lambda)(\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda(f\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U),$$

is a distribution. So, the product of a (smooth) function with a distribution is a distribution. (But, there is no definition for the product of two distributions.)

- For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and D^α ,

$$(D^\alpha \Lambda)(\varphi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \Lambda(D^\alpha \varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U),$$

is a distribution. So, you can always differentiate distribution

Notation. $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$.

$$D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

$$\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \cdots \alpha_n!$$

$$\alpha \leq \beta \text{ if } \alpha_j \leq \beta_j \text{ all } j.$$

Example 4 Let $h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h\varphi' = \cdot \int_0^{\infty} h\varphi' = h\varphi \Big|_0^{\infty} - \int_0^{\infty} h'\varphi = -h(0)\varphi(0).$$

Therefore, $Dh = \delta_0$.

1.4. The Support of a Distribution

Proposition 1.1 $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ iff $\forall K \subset U$, $\exists N$ and C s.t.

$$|\Lambda\varphi| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K.$$

Proof : \Leftarrow) Set $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(U)$. Then $\exists K$ cpt $\subset U$

s.t. φ_j spted in K and $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{D}_K . The latter

means that $\|\varphi_j\|_m \rightarrow 0$ $\forall m$. In particular, taking $m=N$

and from $|\Lambda\varphi_j| \leq C \|\varphi_j\|_N$ we deduce that

$$\Lambda\varphi_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \|\varphi_j\|_N \rightarrow 0.$$

So, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose on the contrary $\exists K$ cpt $\subset U$ such that

$$\forall N, \quad \sup_{\substack{\varphi \neq 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_K}} \frac{|\Lambda\varphi|}{\|\varphi\|_N} = \infty$$

For each N , choose $\varphi_N \in \mathcal{D}_K$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda \varphi_N| &\geq N \\ \|\varphi_N\|_N \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi_N = \frac{\varphi_N}{N \|\varphi_N\|_N} \in \mathcal{D}_K$$

then

$$\|\psi_N\|_N = \frac{1}{N}.$$

We claim that $\psi_N \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{D}_K , i.e., $\forall m$,

$$\|\psi_N\|_m \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

For, for a fixed m , $\forall N \geq m$,

$$\|\psi_N\|_m \leq \|\psi_N\|_N = \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Claim holds. But then

$$|\Lambda \psi_N| = \left| \Lambda \left(\frac{\varphi_N}{N \|\varphi_N\|_N} \right) \right| \geq 1$$

that means $\Lambda \psi_N \not\rightarrow 0$, Λ can't be a distribution

contradiction holds. Hence, must $\exists N, C$ s.t.

$$|\Lambda \varphi| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K.$$

Let $V \subset U$ open. $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is called to vanish on V .

If $\Lambda \varphi = 0$, $\forall \varphi$ spt $\varphi \subset V$. Let

$$W = \bigcup \{V : \Lambda \text{ vanishes on } V\}$$

Proposition 1.2 Λ vanishes on W .

Prof. $W = \bigcup V$, let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a partition of unity

subordinate to V , ie, $\exists V_j$ s.t. $\text{spt } \varphi_j \subset V_j$ and

$\sum \varphi_j(x) = 1$, $x \in W$. Furthermore, for each $K \subset W$,

$\exists \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(x) = 1$, $\forall x \in K$. (locally finite)

see [R1] w [R2] for a construction.

Now, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, $\text{spt } \varphi \subset W$. We'd like to show

$$\Lambda \varphi = 0.$$

Note that

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(x) \varphi_j(x), \quad \forall x \in U.$$

(If $x \in W$, use $\sum_j \varphi_j(x) = 1$. If $x \notin W$, all $\varphi(x), \varphi_j(x)$'s vanish.)

So

$$\Lambda \varphi = \Lambda \left(\sum_1^m \varphi \varphi_j \right) = \sum_1^m \Lambda(\varphi \varphi_j) = 0 \quad \because \text{spt } \varphi \varphi_j \subset V_j.$$

Define the support of Λ , S_Λ , to be

$$S_\Lambda = U \setminus W.$$

Proposition 1.3 Let $\psi \in C^0(U)$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on some open G , $S_\Lambda \subset G$.

$$\psi \Lambda = \Lambda.$$

Proof. Need to show $\Lambda(\psi\varphi) = \Lambda\varphi$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$.

Now, $\psi\varphi - \varphi = 0$ in G . So $\text{spt}(\psi\varphi - \varphi) \subset \overline{U \setminus G} = U \setminus G$
 $\subset W$

By the previous proposition

$$\Lambda(\psi\varphi - \varphi) = 0$$

$$\therefore \Lambda(\psi\varphi) - \Lambda\varphi = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

Proposition 1.4 Let S_Λ be compact $= U$. Then $\exists N, C$ s.t.

$$|\Lambda\varphi| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$$

Proof: Let G be open set s.t. $S_\Lambda \subset G$, $\overline{G} \subset U$, \overline{G} compact.

Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on G . Then Prop 1.3

asserts

$$\Lambda\varphi = \Lambda(\psi\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$$

So $\text{spt}(\psi\varphi) \subset K = \text{spt of } \psi \subset U$, by Prop 1.1. $\exists N, C$ s.t.

$$|\Lambda(\psi\varphi)| \leq C \|\psi\varphi\|_N.$$

Using the product rule $D(\psi\varphi) = \psi D\varphi + (D\psi)\varphi$ N -many times

we get

$$\|\psi\varphi\|_N \leq C \|\varphi\|_N,$$

where C depends on $\|\psi\|_N$. So

$$|\Lambda\varphi| = |\Lambda(\psi\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U).$$

Example 1.5 Let $\delta = \delta_{x_0}$, $x_0 \in U$. We claim

$$\text{spt } D^\alpha \delta = \{x_0\}$$

for any α . For, let $x \neq x_0$ and $B_\rho(x) \not\ni x_0$, then for

φ spt in $B_\rho(x)$, $D^\alpha \varphi$ also spt in $B_\rho(x)$, so clearly δ and $D^\alpha \delta$

vanishes on $B_\rho(x)$. That's, $B_\rho(x) \subset W$. It shows that

$W \supset U \setminus \{x_0\}$. On the other hand, if $x_0 \in W$, then $\text{spt } D^\alpha \delta$

is empty which implies that $(D^\alpha \delta)(\varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$.

WLOG let $x_0 = 0$. Fix some $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, $\varphi(0) = 1$ and consider

the func $\psi(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{D}(U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (D^\alpha \delta)(\psi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \varphi(x)) \Big|_{x=0} \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \varphi(0) \\ &\neq 0, \text{ contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore W = U \setminus \{x_0\}$.

Theorem 1.5 Let S_1 be $\{x_0\}$. Then $\exists N$ s.t.

$$\Lambda = \sum c_\alpha D^\alpha S, \quad \delta = \delta_{x_0}.$$

Take $x_0 = 0$.

Proof. By Prop. 1.4, $\exists N, C$ s.t.

$$|\Lambda \varphi| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ satisfy

$$D^\alpha \varphi(0) = 0, \quad |\alpha| \leq N.$$

Claim: $\Lambda \varphi = 0$.

First, by Taylor Expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \varphi(0) + \dots + \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{D^\alpha \varphi(c)}{\alpha!} x^\alpha, \quad c \text{ some mean-value.} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{D^\alpha \varphi(c)}{\alpha!} x^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

As $D^\alpha \varphi(c) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow 0$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta$ s.t.

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq C\varepsilon|x|^N, \quad x \in B_\delta(0).$$

$$D_j \varphi(x) = D_j \varphi(0) + \dots + \sum_{|\alpha|=N-1} \frac{D^\alpha P_{j\alpha} \varphi(c)}{(\alpha-1)!} x^\alpha$$

as before

$$|D_j \varphi(x)| \leq C\varepsilon|x|^{N-1}, \quad x \in B_\delta(0).$$

In general, we get

$$|D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq C\varepsilon|x|^{N-|\beta|}, \quad x \in B_\delta(0), \quad 0 \leq |\beta| \leq N.$$

Next, fix a bump function ψ and

set $\psi_r(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{r})$. $\psi_r \varphi$ spt in $B_r(0)$



and

$$|D^\alpha (\psi_r \varphi)| = \left| \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} c_{\beta\gamma} D^\beta \psi_r D^\gamma \varphi \right| \leq \sum |c_{\beta\gamma}| |x|^{-|\beta|} |D^\beta \psi(\frac{x}{r})| C\varepsilon |x|^{N-|\gamma|}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon |x|^{N-|\alpha|}$$

110

therefore,

$$|\Lambda(\psi_r \varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N$$

$$\leq C' \varepsilon$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude from Prop. 1.3

$$|\Lambda \varphi| = |\Lambda(\psi_r \varphi)| = 0.$$

The claim is established. Now the theorem follows from the following linear algebra lemma.

Lemma Set $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_m, \Lambda$ be linear fns on the vector space X . Let

$$N = \{x : \Lambda_j x = 0, j=1, \dots, m\}.$$

Suppose that $\Lambda x = 0 \forall x \in N$, that

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \Lambda_j \quad \text{for some } d_1, \dots, d_m.$$

([R2] Lemma 3.9.)